**Applying Explainable AI techniques using Interpret Text to the APP-350 Corpus**

Definitions

Explainable AI is a branch of AI that seeks to explain the predictions of machine learning models through statistical explanations and visualisations.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) is a subset of machine learning that aims to quantifiably analyse natural language.

Interpret Text is a Python framework developed by Microsoft that makes it easier to interpret the predictions of NLP models.

APP-350 Corpus is a corpus of 350 Android app privacy policies annotated with privacy practices (i.e. behaviour that can have privacy implications).

Objective of Capstone

Using Interpret Text, I aim to train a machine learning model using the APP-350 corpus to predict the type of privacy practices within app privacy policies. I then use Interpret Text’s tools to visualise why the model made such predictions from a machine learning perspective. I then compare and contrast this with how a lawyer would reason about the privacy policy.

Rationale of Capstone

Natural language forms the bread & butter of the legal industry, as expressed in contracts, judgements and legislation. There has been an increasing trend within the legal industry to adopt more machine learning techniques to automate and assist low level legal analysis. Within the specific context of data privacy, it would be useful to have a tool that assesses the possible data privacy risks of user policies. Such a tool would naturally use NLP techniques. Nevertheless, these tools should still be accessible to the layperson lawyer that might not be trained in data science.

While NLP techniques have substantially increased in performance in recent years, it has come at the cost of explainability of predictions because of the usage of artificial neural networks which are architecturally more complex than traditional machine learning models. This lack of explainability of more advanced machine learning models could potentially be a significant hindrance towards their adoption within the legal industry because the lawyer / law firm which uses these models still ultimately bear the responsibility of ensuring that the analysis is legally sound.

However, the intersection in skillset between data science and legal analysis is still nascent and it is also unrealistic all legally trained personnel to be trained in data science to the extent required to interpret the predictions of machine learning models without aid.

Therefore, my capstone aims to bridge the gap between the lawyer and the data scientist by using Interpret Text to explain the predictions of a machine learning model in the context of assessing data privacy practices of app policies.

More about APP-350

The APP-350 Corpus consists of 350 annotated Android app privacy policies. Each annotation consists of a practice and a modality.

A \*privacy practice\* (or \*practice\*) describes a certain behavior of an app that can have privacy implications (e.g., collection of a phone's device identifier or sharing of its location with ad networks). We have annotations for 58 different practices.

There are only two modalities: PERFORMED (i.e., a practice is explicitly described as being performed) and NOT\_PERFORMED (i.e., a practice is explicitly described as not being performed).

Below is a screenshot of the practices and their descriptions.
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The APP-350 Corpus was used in a broader project to train machine learning models to conduct a privacy census of 1,035,853 Android apps. For more information about how the Corpus was annotated, see the paper “MAPS: Scaling Privacy Compliance Analysis to a Million Apps” (as attached), Section 3, Pg 69 – 70.

Rationale for utilising the APP-350 corpus

How Interpret Text explains predictions

Interpret Text allows visualisation of the predictions of NLP models across a range of complexities. The two broad types of models that it covers are linear & tree-based models and neural networks. For the purposes of this capstone, I will mainly be focusing on the first type of model as these models are considered “glass-box” models: A glass-box explainer implies a model that is innately explainable, where the user can fully observe and dissect the process adopted by the model in making a prediction. The hyperparameters of neural networks are much more complicated which may be too technically complicated for this capstone.

For linear & tree-based models, Interpret Text uses a Classical Text Explainer. The Explainer leverages this inherent explainability by exposing weights and importances over encoded tokens as explanations over each word in a document. In practice, these can be accessed through the visualization dashboard or the explanation object.

The explanations provided by the aforementioned glass-box methods serve as direct proxies for weights and parameters in the model, which make the final prediction.

This is how the visualisation dashboard looks like:
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The user can visualise the top 10 important words (both positive and negative) for each label, and the dashboard provides a “weight” score for each word. The user can interact with the highlighted words to see the individual score.

Model training methodology by researchers

According to the paper “MAPS: Scaling Privacy Compliance Analysis to a Million Apps”, the researchers feature engineered the policy text as follows (Page 71 – 72):

1. Tokenisation: Lowercase all characters, remove non-ASCII characters, no stemming, normalisation of whitespace and punctuation, unigrams & bigrams
2. Word representation: Union of TF-IDF and manually crafted features.
   1. The manually crafted features consist of Boolean values indicating the presence or absence of indicative strings the researchers observed in the data.

Individual classifiers were then trained for every policy classification. For all the classifications (except for four policy classifications), they trained a model using scikitlearn’s SVC implementation with a linear kernel, with five-fold cross validation. For the four policy classifications, word-based rule classifiers were used instead because of the limited number of training data.

Proposed model training methodology

As the methodology used by the researchers seem to produce the highest performing models, I aim to replicate it. However, since Interpret Text only supports linear & tree-based classifiers, I will use the SGDClassifier instead to fit a linear SVM. I then will use Interpret Text to visualise the predictions.

Apart from replicating the researchers’ methodology, I will also experiment with different feature engineering approaches to compare their impact on model performance and explainability (further details to be added):

1. Word representations: Bag of words and word embeddings.
2. Different combinations of n-grams.

I will also assess the performance and explainability of different linear & tree-based models (further details to be added):

1. Logistic Regression
2. Ridge classifier
3. Ada Boost
4. Gradient Boost
5. Random Forest